

Statement of Common Ground between Morgan Offshore Wind Limited and the Defence Infrastructure Organisation





| Document status | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Purpose of document | Authored by | Reviewed by | Approved by | Review date | |
| Deadline 1 | RPS | Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd. | Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd. | October 2024 | |
| Deadline 5 | RPS | Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd. | Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd. | January 2025 | |
| | Purpose of document Deadline 1 | Purpose of document Authored by Deadline 1 RPS | Purpose of document Authored by Reviewed by Deadline 1 RPS Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd. Deadline 5 RPS Morgan Offshore | Purpose of document Authored by Reviewed by Approved by Deadline 1 RPS Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd. Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd. Deadline 5 RPS Morgan Offshore Worgan Offshore | |

Prepared by: Prepared for:

Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd. **RPS**

| Signatories | |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|
| Signed | |
| Name | |
| Date | |
| Position | |
| For | Defence Infrastructure Organisation |
| | |
| Signed | |
| Name | |
| Date | |
| Position | |
| For | Morgan Offshore Wind Limited |



Contents

| _ | | T OF COMMON GROUND BETWEEN MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND LIMITED AND NERASTRUCTURE ORGANISATION | |
|------------|--------|--|----|
| 1.1 | | uction | |
| | 1.1.1 | Overview | |
| | 1.1.2 | Morgan Generation Assets elements under DIO's remit | |
| | 1.1.3 | Overview of Morgan Generation Assets | |
| | 1.1.4 | Approach to SoCG | |
| 1.2 | Summ | ary of SoCG | |
| | 1.2.1 | Overview | |
| | 1.2.2 | Summary of Those Matters Agreed, Ongoing Points of Discussion and Not Agreed | |
| 1.3 | Summ | ary of consultation | |
| 1.4 | | ment log | |
| | 1.4.1 | Overview | |
| | 1.4.2 | Aviation and radar | 7 |
| | 1.4.3 | Naval surface or subsea operations | |
| APPENDIX | ζ Α | | |
| A.1 | | sed wording of DCO Requirement for Aviation safety | |
| | - | Part A – Aviation Lighting | |
| | A.1.2 | | |
| Tablas | | | |
| Tables | | | |
| Table 1.1: | | ary of areas agreed, ongoing points of discussion and not agreed between the parties | |
| Table 1.2: | | ary of pre-application consultation with DIO. | |
| Table 1.3: | | ary of post-application consultation with DIO | |
| Table 1.4: | | on definitions and colour coding | |
| Table 1.5: | Agree | ment Log between the parties on aviation and radar | 7 |
| Table 1 6· | Agreei | ment Log between the parties on payal surface or subsea operations | 13 |

Glossary

| Term | Meaning |
|--|---|
| Applicant | Morgan Offshore Wind Limited. |
| Deemed Marine Licence | The Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 requires a marine licence to be obtained for licensable marine activities. Section 149A of the Planning Act 2008 allows an applicant for a DCO to apply for a 'deemed' marine licence as part of the DCO process. |
| Development Consent Order (DCO) | An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent for one or more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP). |
| Morgan Offshore Wind Project | The Morgan Offshore Wind Project is comprised of both the generation assets and offshore and onshore transmission assets, and associated activities. |
| Morgan Array Area | The area within which the wind turbines, foundations, inter-array cables, interconnector cables, scour protection, cable protection and offshore substation platforms (OSPs) forming part of the Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets will be located. |
| Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets | This is the name given to the Morgan Generation Assets project as a whole (includes all infrastructure and activities associated with the project construction, operations and maintenance, and decommissioning). |
| The Planning Inspectorate | The agency responsible for operating the planning process for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects. |

Acronyms

| Acronym | Description |
|---------|--|
| ATC | Air Traffic Control |
| CEA | Cumulative Effects Assessment |
| DCO | Development Consent Order |
| DIO | Defence Infrastructure Organisation |
| EIA | Environmental Impact Assessment |
| MOD | Ministry of Defence |
| NDA | Non Disclosure Agreement |
| OSP | Offshore Substation Platform |
| PEXA | Practice and Exercise Areas |
| PEIR | Preliminary Environmental Information Report |
| PSR | Primary Surveillance Radar |
| RAF | Royal Air Force |
| SoCG | Statement of Common Ground |



Units

| Unit | Description |
|------|-------------|
| kV | Kilovolts |
| m | Metres |



1 STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND BETWEEN MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND LIMITED AND THE DEFENCE INFRASTRUCTURE ORGANISATION

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 Overview

- 1.1.1.1 This initial Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared between Morgan Offshore Wind Limited (hereafter referred to as 'the Applicant') and the Defence Infrastructure Organisation (DIO), hereafter referred together as the parties. The SoCG sets out the areas of agreement and disagreement between the parties in relation to the Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets (hereafter referred to as the 'Morgan Generation Assets').
- 1.1.1.2 The need for a SoCG between the Applicant and the DIO is set out within the Rule 6 letter that was issued by the Planning Inspectorate on 05 August 2024 (PD-001).
- 1.1.1.3 This document is intended to provide the Examining Authority with an overview of the level of common ground between the parties. The SoCG will facilitate further discussion between the parties and will be updated during the Morgan Generation Assets Examination and submitted at the Deadlines indicated in the Rule 6 letter (PD-001).

1.1.2 Morgan Generation Assets elements under DIO's remit

- 1.1.2.1 The DIO Safeguarding Team represents the Ministry of Defence (MOD) as a consultee in UK planning and energy consenting systems to ensure that development does not compromise or degrade the operation of defence sites such as aerodromes, explosives storage sites, air weapon ranges, naval surface or subsea operations, and technical sites or training resources such as the Military Low Flying System. The elements of the Morgan Generation Assets which may affect the interests of the DIO are detailed in Schedule 1 (Authorised Project), Part 1 (Authorised Development) of the Draft DCO (AS-003).
- 1.1.2.2 This SoCG covers the following topics of relevance to the DIO as set out in the Rule 6 letter:
 - Royal Air Force (RAF) Valley aerodrome Assessment and mitigation of effects on aviation
 - MOD safeguarding Assessment and proposed mitigation of effects to:
 - Primary Surveillance Radar (PSR) and mitigation measures
 - Aviation physical obstruction
 - Naval surface or subsea operations.

1.1.3 Overview of Morgan Generation Assets

1.1.3.1 Morgan Generation Assets is a proposed offshore wind farm located in the east Irish Sea. The Morgan Generation Assets will include offshore infrastructure and consists of:



 Morgan Array Area: This is where the wind turbines, Offshore Substation Platforms (OSPs), foundations (for both wind turbines and OSPs), inter-array cables and interconnector cables will be located.

1.1.4 Approach to SoCG

- 1.1.4.1 This SoCG has been developed during the pre-Examination phase and will be progressed during the Examination phase of the Morgan Generation Assets. In accordance with discussions between the parties, the SoCG is focused on those issues raised by the DIO within its response to Scoping and Section 42 consultation that has underpinned the pre-application consultation between the parties. This SoCG also includes those issues raised by the DIO during the post-application phase (i.e. relevant representations and pre-Examination meetings).
- 1.1.4.2 The structure of this SoCG is as follows:
 - Section 1.1: Introduction
 - Section 1.2: Summary of SoCG
 - Section 1.3: Summary of consultation
 - Section 1.4: Agreement Log.

1.2 Summary of SoCG

1.2.1 Overview

1.2.1.1 This SoCG outlines the consultation that has taken place between the parties during the pre-application and post-application phases of the Morgan Generation Assets. The agreement logs present the position reached on 14 January 2025 (Deadline 5).

1.2.2 Summary of Those Matters Agreed, Ongoing Points of Discussion and Not Agreed

1.2.2.1 Table 1.1 provides a summary of those matters agreed, an ongoing point of discussion or not agreed between the parties.

Table 1.1: Summary of areas agreed, ongoing points of discussion and not agreed between the parties.

| Topic | Position |
|--|--|
| RAF Valley aerodrome (PSR) | Agreed (no impact to RAF Valley) |
| PSR and mitigation measures (Warton aerodrome) | Ongoing point of discussion (mitigation) |
| Aviation – physical obstruction | Agreed |
| Naval surface or subsea operations | Agreed (no impact to naval surface or subsea operations) |



1.3 Summary of consultation

1.3.1.1 Table 1.2 below provides a summary of the consultation undertaken by the Applicant with the DIO during the pre-application phase of the Morgan Generation Assets. Table 1.3 below provides a summary of the consultation undertaken by the Applicant with the DIO during the post-application phase of the Morgan Generation Assets.

Table 1.2: Summary of pre-application consultation with DIO.

| Date | Form of consultation | Statutory or non-statutory engagement | Summary of consultation |
|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Scoping | | | |
| 22/07/2022 | Scoping response | Statutory | Response included within Scoping Opinion (APP-030). |
| Statutory (Sec | tion 42) consult | ation | |
| 23/06/2023 | S42 consultation response | Statutory | Confirmed that the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) recognised the principal defence issues that could be impacted and that the use of airspace in the vicinity of the Morgan Generation Assets for defence purposes had been appropriately identified and considered |
| | | | Confirmed that the requirement to supply sufficient information to allow accurate charting of the development and for the installation of appropriate aviation safety lighting had been addressed |
| | | | Confirmed that the DIO do not anticipate that the development would have an operational impact on either of the identified radar systems at Warton and RAF Valley |
| | | | Confirmed no overlap with military danger areas or Practice and Exercise Areas (PEXA). |
| 22/12/2023 | S42 consultation response | Statutory | Provision of updated assessment and position following the increase in tip height (364 m) |
| | | | Confirmation that the PEIR details the potential for radar systems to be affected by the proposed wind farm, highlighting the potential for the development to be within radar line of sight (RLoS) of radar systems at Warton and RAF Valley |
| | | | However, DIO do not anticipate that the development would have an operational impact on either of the identified radars. |
| Aviation and R | adar | | |
| 28/03/2023 | Meeting | Non-statutory | Discussion of potential impacts to stakeholders. |
| 02/08/2023 | Email to DIO | Non-statutory | Provision of revised boundary coordinates and request for updated DIO safeguarding position due to change in wind turbine generator parameters (increased tip height). |
| 04/08/2023 | Email from DIO | Non-statutory | Response requesting turbine locations, new rotor diameter and new hub height. |



| Date | Form of consultation | Statutory or non-statutory engagement | Summary of consultation |
|------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 10/08/2023 | Email to DIO | Non-statutory | Reconfirmation of the increased blade tip height and other requested parameters noting that the location coordinates will not be finalised until post consent through the design plan. Request for latest DIO safeguarding position for change in wind turbine parameters. |
| 13/10/2023 | Email to DIO | Non-statutory | Request for DIO safeguarding position. |
| 15/11/2023 | Email from DIO | Non-statutory | Update that assessment has been delayed and aim to complete by 08/12/2023. |
| 29/11/2023 | Email to DIO | Non-statutory | Request for update on assessment and provision of results. |
| 12/12/2023 | Email to DIO | Non-statutory | Request for update on assessment and provision of results. |
| 15/12/2023 | Email to DIO | Non-statutory | Request for update on assessment and provision of results. |
| 22/12/2023 | Email from DIO | Non-statutory | Confirmation of assessment completion and provision to Applicant once reviewed. |



Table 1.3: Summary of post-application consultation with DIO.

| Date | Form of consultation | Statutory or non-statutory engagement | Summary of consultation |
|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 06/06/2024 | Email to DIO | Non-Statutory | Email to confirm acceptance of the Morgan Generation Assets and to inform that parties can now register as interested parties. |
| 25/07/2024 | Email to DIO | Non-statutory | Email to request latest DIO position for Morgan Generation Assets with respect to Warton and RAF Valley. Applicant noted there was no relevant representation from DIO or BAE Systems for Warton or RAF Valley. |
| 29/07/2024 | Meeting | Non-statutory | Meeting to discuss latest DIO position for Morgan Generation Assets. |
| 07/08/2024 | Email to DIO | Non-statutory | Email noting the request for a SoCG with DIO and RAF Valley aerodrome in the Rule 6 letter and request for meeting availability. |
| 08/08/2024 | Email from DIO | Non-statutory | Confirmation to Applicant that DIO will be submitting a response to the Planning Inspectorate and will review an initial SoCG draft in the first instance. |
| 09/08/2024 | Relevant | Statutory | Relevant representations of the DIO. |
| | representations | | Provided an objection to the Morgan Generation Assets on the basis of potential impact on the effective operation and capability of air traffic control (ATC) radar deployed at BAE Warton. |
| | | | Confirmed that the Morgan Generation Assets falls within Low Flying Area 17 (LFA 17) and noted that the addition of wind turbines in this location would introduce a physical obstruction to low flying aircraft. Requested conditions requiring an aviation lighting scheme and submission of data to ensure that structures can be accurately charted. Acknowledged that the Applicant has set out the MOD requirement for MOD accredited aviation safety lighting in Table 11.15 of Volume 2, Chapter 11, Aviation and Radar (APP-015). |
| 02/09/2024 | Email to DIO | Non-statutory | Provision of initial Statement of Common Ground for review. |
| September – Dec 2024 | Email correspondence | Non-statutory | Engagement on updating SoCG, and update liaison on parallel processes with BAE Warton. |
| 06 January 2025 | Meeting | Non-statutory | Review of SoCG. |



1.4 Agreement log

1.4.1 Overview

1.4.1.1 This section of the SoCG sets out the level of agreement between the parties. For each matter the status is identified as being either agreed, not agreed or an ongoing point of discussion, according to the criteria set out in Table 1.4 below.

Table 1.4: Position definitions and colour coding.

| Position and colour coding | Definition of position |
|------------------------------|---|
| Agreed | The matter is considered to be agreed between the parties. |
| Ongoing point of discussion | The matter is neither agreed or not agreed, and is a matter where further discussion is required between the parties. |
| Not agreed, but not material | The matter is not considered to be agreed between the parties, but is not deemed material. |
| Not agreed | The matter is not considered to be agreed between the parties. |



1.4.2 Aviation and radar

1.4.2.1 Table 1.5 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.1.1.3) in relation to the DIO.

Table 1.5: Agreement Log between the parties on aviation and radar.

| Reference Number | Discussion point | Applicant's Position | DIO's Position | Status |
|---------------------|-------------------------|--|----------------|--------|
| Environmen | tal Impact Asse | essment (EIA) | | |
| DIO.AR.1 | Consultation | The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with DIO on potential impacts on aviation and radar. | Agreed | Agreed |
| DIO.AR.2 | Consultation | The EIA has had due regard to matters raised by DIO through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on aviation and radar. | Agreed | Agreed |
| DIO.AR.3 | Policy | The Applicant has identified and considered the plans and policies relevant to aviation and radar, within DIO's remit. | Agreed | Agreed |
| DIO.AR.4 | Baseline environment | The Applicant has adequately characterised the baseline environment for aviation and radar. | Agreed | Agreed |
| DIO.AR.5 | Scoping | The scoping of impacts for the EIA for aviation and radar is appropriate, including that impacts to aviation activity in PEXAs are scoped out as presented in Table 11.6 of Volume 2, Chapter 11: Aviation and radar (APP-015) and as reflected in the DIO's Section 42 consultation response. | Agreed | Agreed |
| DIO.AR.6 | Study area | The aviation and radar study area in Figure 11.1 of APP-015 is appropriate for the receptors, sites and impacts assessed. | Agreed | Agreed |
| DIO.AR.7 | Project design envelope | Volume 2, Chapter 11: Aviation and radar (APP-015) has identified, described and assessed the maximum design scenario for the EIA. | Agreed | Agreed |

Document Reference: S_D5_DIO Page 7 of 14



| Reference Number | Discussion point | Applicant's Position | DIO's Position | Status |
|---------------------|---|--|---|--------|
| DIO.AR.8 | Assessment methodology | The sensitivity of aviation and radar receptors has been correctly identified and sufficiently described within Volume 2, Chapter 11: Aviation and radar (APP-015). | Agreed | Agreed |
| DIO.AR.9 | Assessment methodology | The list of projects screened into the Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) with respect to DIO's remit in Volume 2, Chapter 11: Aviation and radar (APP-015) are appropriate. | Agreed | Agreed |
| DIO.AR.10 | Assessment of the effects from the project alone – Warton Aerodrome | The impact assessment initially predicted a potential effect on the PSR at Warton Aerodrome, as set out in Volume 2, Chapter 16: Aviation and radar of the PEIR. However, due to DIO not raising a concern against effects on BAE Systems Warton Aerodrome in response to the statutory consultation on the PEIR, and the Applicant's subsequent consultation following the increased tip height, the Applicant did not undertake a detailed impact assessment for Warton Aerodrome in the EIA (APP-015). Based on the latest position of DIO set out in their letter of 09 August 2024, the Applicant accepts the potential for significant effects on the PSR at Warton Aerodrome. | Agreed. The development proposed, when operational, would cause unacceptable and unmanageable interference to the effective operation of air traffic control radar deployed at BAE Systems Warton. It is the Applicant's responsibility to provide a suitable technical mitigation solution to MOD. | Agreed |

Document Reference: S_D5_DIO Page 8 of 14



| Reference Number | Discussion point | Applicant's Position | DIO's Position | Status |
|---------------------|--|--|---|--------|
| DIO.AR.11 | Assessment of the effects from the project alone – RAF Valley | The initial impact assessment presented in the PEIR predicted no potential effects on the PSR at RAF Valley, as set out in Volume 2, Chapter 16: Aviation and Radar of the PEIR. This conclusion is further supported by the detailed analysis in Volume 4, Annex 16.1: Aviation and Radar Technical Report of the PEIR, which describes the method of radar LoS analysis. | MOD can confirm no operational impact for RAF Valley. | Agreed |
| | | The results indicated that the RAF Valley PSR will not theoretically detect the operational wind turbines of the Morgan Generation Assets at a blade tip height of 324 m above LAT; therefore, the RAF Valley PSR was not considered further. | | |
| | | The EIA Chapter on Aviation and Radar (APP-015) further supports this conclusion for the blade tip height of 364 m above LAT. The Radar LoS analysis concluded that the RAF Valley PSR would not theoretically detect the operational wind turbines, as detailed in Volume 4, Annex 11.1: Aviation and radar technical report (APP-045). | | |
| | | The DIO confirmed in their Section 42 response(s) that impacts to RAF Valley PSR are not expected and therefore were scoped out. | | |
| | | Based on the latest position of DIO set out in their letter of 09 August 2024, which did not mention the RAF Valley PSR, the Applicant understands that there is no significant effects on the PSR at RAF Valley. | | |

Document Reference: S_D5_DIO Page 9 of 14



| Reference Number | Discussion point | Applicant's Position | DIO's Position | Status |
|---------------------|--|--|---|--------|
| DIO.AR.12 | Assessment of the effects from the project alone – physical obstruction | Potential impact of physical obstruction to military and other low flying operations is assessed in Volume 2, Chapter 11: Aviation and radar (APP-015). The potential effect was concluded to be of minor adverse significance on the basis of a range of adopted measures, in the form of appropriate notification to aviation stakeholders, development of a Design Plan, and lighting and marking, in compliance with current guidelines where appropriate and agreed with the appropriate stakeholders. Aviation safety is addressed by Requirement 3 within Schedule 2 of the draft DCO (AS-003). | Note that MOD must be consulted on any proposed aviation safety lighting scheme to ensure that lights meet MOD requirements. | Agreed |
| DIO.AR.13 | Assessment of the effects from the project cumulatively with other projects – Warton Aerodrome | The initial impact assessment presented in the PEIR predicted a potential cumulative effect on the PSR at Warton Aerodrome, as set out in Volume 2, Chapter 16: Aviation and radar of the PEIR. However, due to DIO not raising a concern against effects on BAE Systems Warton Aerodrome in response to the statutory consultation on the PEIR, the Applicant did not undertake a detailed impact assessment for Warton Aerodrome and in the EIA. Based on the latest position of DIO set out in their letter of 09 August 2024, the Applicant accepts the potential for significant cumulative effects on the PSR at Warton Aerodrome. | The development proposed, when operational, would cause unacceptable and unmanageable interference to the effective operation of air traffic control radar deployed at BAE Systems Warton. It is the Applicant's responsibility to provide a suitable technical mitigation solution to the MOD. | Agreed |
| DIO.AR.14 | Assessment of the effects from the project cumulatively with other projects – RAF Valley | The initial impact assessment presented in the PEIR predicted no potential cumulative effects on the PSR at RAF Valley, as set out in Volume 2, Chapter 16: Aviation and radar of the PEIR. | MOD can confirm no operational impact for RAF Valley. | Agreed |

Document Reference: S_D5_DIO Page 10 of 14



| Reference Number | Discussion point | Applicant's Position | DIO's Position | Status |
|---------------------|---|--|---|---|
| DIO.AR.15 | Mitigation – BAE Systems Warton Aerodrome | The mitigation of [description of mitigation solution] agreed with BAE Systems and provided to DIO in relation to Warton Aerodrome are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided. The parties are engaging on the nature of the mitigation required in the square brackets above. BAE Systems Warton Aerodrome are in the process of implementing a new PSR at Warton Aerodrome which is expected to be online by the end of 2024 subject to site acceptance and flight trials. BAE Systems have indicated that mitigation is likely to include as a minimum; optimisation of the radar for the Morgan Generation Assets, flight trials and a safety case to the Civil Aviation Authority. BAE Systems anticipate being in a position to provide further information by mid-October 2024. The parties will provide updates through subsequent SoCG at future Examination deadlines. Update (14 January 2025): Due to certain conditions relating to commissioning of the new PSR radar not being met, BAE Systems and its partners have not been able to remove the Non Disclosure Agreement (NDA) relating to this matter and therefore the parties have not been able to openly engage on mitigation requirements. At this Deadline the Applicant has included a requirement in the DCO to secure implementation of mitigation prior to operation of the wind turbines (see DIO.AR.17 below). The Applicant understands that at this time, DIO process means they cannot formally accept the requirement as, given they are not the operator of the asset, they are unable to confirm whether mitigation will be viable. This confirmation is restricted by the NDA and has been amplified by critical resource at BAE being on sick leave ahead of this Deadline. It is hoped that a liaison meeting can be held ahead of DL6 that will allow BAE to clearly state their consideration of the viability of mitigation and ensure that DIO are able to accept the requirement. | Confirm that position set out by the Applicant aligns with that of the MOD. Discussion of mitigation is ongoing as set out in the Applicants position. | Ongoing point of discussion. The Applicant will continue engaging with DIO on this matter. |

Document Reference: S_D5_DIO Page 11 of 14



| Reference Number | Discussion point | Applicant's Position | DIO's Position | Status |
|---------------------|---|---|---|---|
| DIO.AR.16 | Aviation Safety | Requirement 3, Aviation Safety, in relation to lighting is appropriate. | The DIO accepts the proposed wording of a requirement, set out at Schedule 2, Requirement 3 (1) of the draft Development Consent Order [REP2-004], which would require that an aviation safety lighting scheme is drafted with MOD (DIO Safeguarding) and that such an aviation safety lighting scheme is both implemented and retained for the life of the development. In the interest of ensuring aviation safety is maintained, a requirement detailing the submission, approval, and implementation of an aviation lighting scheme that would be applied during the construction phase should also be included. In addition, the Applicant has proposed the wording of a requirement, set out at Schedule 2, Requirement 3 (2) of the draft Development Consent Order [REP2-004] which would necessitate the submission of sufficient data to | |
| | | | ensure that the development is accurately charted. Assuming that the wording of Requirement 3 (parts (1) and (2)) is amended to reflect the suggested change above, and that there are no changes to the parameters of the development, the wording would be considered acceptable. | |
| DIO.AR.17 | Mitigation – BAE Systems Warton Aerodrome | Requirement 3, Aviation Safety, in relation to delivery of mitigation at Warton Aerodrome PSR is appropriate. | At this time MOD cannot agree to the wording of a requirement relating to the Warton Aerodrome PSR. (See DIO. AR.15) The current MOD objection must remain in place until such time as technical and operational assessments have been completed on a mitigation proposal submitted to the MOD (DIO Safeguarding) by the applicant, and that those assessments have | Ongoing point of discussion. The Applicant will continue engaging with DIO on this matter. |

Document Reference: S_D5_DIO Page 12 of 14



1.4.3 Naval surface or subsea operations

1.4.3.1 Table 1.6 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.1.1.3) in relation to the DIO.

Table 1.6: Agreement Log between the parties on naval surface or subsea operations

| | Discussion point | Applicant's Position | DIO's Position | Status |
|------------|------------------|--|--|--------|
| DIO.NSSO.1 | Consultation | The DIO has confirmed in Section 42 consultation there is no overlap with military danger areas or PEXA and therefore there are no issues with naval surface or subsea operations. | Agreed, based on s42 consultation (DIO reference: DIO10055489) | Agreed |

Document Reference: S_D5_DIO Page 13 of 14



Appendix A

A.1 Proposed wording of DCO Requirement for Aviation safety

A.1.1 Part A – Aviation Lighting

- A.—(1) The undertaker must exhibit such lights, with such shape, colour and character and at such times as are required by Air Navigation Order 2016 and/or determined necessary for aviation safety in consultation with the Defence Infrastructure Organisation Safeguarding and as directed by the Civil Aviation Authority. Lighting installed specifically to meet Ministry of Defence aviation safety requirements must remain operational for the life of the authorised development unless otherwise agreed with the Ministry of Defence.
- (2) The undertaker must notify the Defence Infrastructure Organisation Safeguarding, at least 14 days prior to the commencement of the scheduled works, of the following—
- (a) the date of the commencement of construction of the scheduled works;
- (b) the date any wind turbine generators are brought into use;
- (c) the maximum height of any construction equipment to be used;
- (d) the maximum heights of any wind turbine generator, and offshore substation platform to be constructed;
- (e) the latitude and longitude of each wind turbine generator, and offshore substation platform to be constructed; and the Defence Infrastructure Organisation Safeguarding must be notified of any changes to the information supplied under this paragraph and of the completion of the construction of the scheduled works.
- (3) The lights installed in accordance with paragraph (1) will be operated at the lowest permissible lighting intensity level.

A.1.2 Part B – Primary Surveillance Radar – BAE Warton

- B.—(1) No wind turbine generator forming part of the authorised development is permitted to rotate its rotor blades on its horizontal axis until the Secretary of State, having consulted with the Ministry of Defence and the Operator, confirms in writing that appropriate mitigation will be implemented and maintained for the life of the authorised development and that arrangements have been put in place with the Operator to ensure that such appropriate mitigation is implemented.
- (2) For the purposes of this requirement—
- "appropriate mitigation" means measures to prevent or remove any adverse impacts which the operation of the authorised development will have on the Operator's ability to provide safe and efficient air traffic services for Warton Aerodrome during the life of the authorised development;
- "approved mitigation" means the appropriate mitigation measures agreed with the Ministry of Defence and the Operator in accordance with sub-paragraph (1);
- "Ministry of Defence" means as represented by Defence Infrastructure Organisation Safeguarding, Kingston Road, Sutton Coldfield, B75 7RL or any successor body;
- "Operator" means BAE Systems (Operations) Limited incorporated under the Companies Act (Company Number 01996687) whose registered office is Warwick House, PO Box 87, Farnborough Aerospace Centre, Farnborough, Hants, GU14 6YU or such other organisation as is licensed from time to time under sections 5 and 6 of the Transport Act 2000 to provide air traffic services for Warton Aerodrome.
- (3) The undertaker shall thereafter comply with all obligations contained within the approved mitigation for the life of the authorised development.